Translating Adoption of Biofortified Varieties into Nutritional Impact -Quality Protein Maize in Ethiopia



Hugo De Groote (CIMMYT) Nilupa Gunaratna (Harvard Chan School of Public Health) Masresha Tessema (Ethiopia Public Health Institute) Katherine Donato (HSPH) Demissie Belayneh (CIMMYT) Margaret McConnell (PI, HSPH) Jessica Cohen (PI, HSPH)

Paper presented at the 2017 East Africa Evidence Summit Agricultural Technology Adoption Initiative (ATAI) Addis Ababa, July 18, 2017

Undernutrition in Ethiopia



- Undernutrition and stunting are serious problems in Ethiopia,
 - In the country: 2/5 of children are stunted
 - in Amhara region: > 50% are stunted
 - As livelihoods in rural areas mostly depend on agriculture, there is increasing focus on integrating nutrition into agricultural interventions
 - Maize increasingly important food source household consumption (particularly for the poor), but has poor balance of essential amino acids
 - High amount of maize in diets of infants/young toddlers (combined with morbidity and sanitation) puts them at risk of inadequate protein intake
 - CIMMYT has developed Quality Protein Maize (QPM) biofortified with limiting amino acids lysine and tryptophan
- Through the NuME (Nutritious Maize for Africa), QPM is being promoted and disseminated throughout Ethiopia

Impact for target population

- There is evidence of nutritional benefits when QPM is provided with some control over children's consumption
- However, in natural settings, people make their own decisions
- Will QPM have an impact?
- Are additional interventions needed?



3

CIMMYT

RCT – 1st Intervention Adoption Encouragement (AE)



- Population: Rural households with children aged 6-35 months
 - A household member has attended QPM field demonstrations
- First intervention: <u>Adoption encouragement</u>
 - Target household head, visit at home
 - Reiterate agronomic and nutrition information
 - Offer free seed in smaller quantities: 2 kg bags, up to 6 kg
 - Originally intended to sell seed with subsidy

4

• Variety choice (AMH760Q or BHQPY545)

Tessema, Gunaratna, Donato, Cohen, McConnell, Belayneh, Brouwer, Belachew, and De Groote. (2016) **Y T**

RCT – Intervention 2 Consumption Encouragement

- Second intervention randomized among adopters: <u>Consumption encouragement</u>
 - Target female caregiver of target child
 - Two visits at home and one group meeting
 - Provide information on:
 - Nutritional benefit
 - Methods to separate QPM grain and flour from other maize
 - Methods to earmark and allocate QPM foods for young child
 - QPM for feeding young children





Consumption Encouragement



- Women were provided:
 - Bags for QPM grain storage
 - Bag for QPM flour transport and storage
 - Bowl and spoon for feeding child
 - Poster encouraging feeding of children with QPM

6

Study Design and Execution

Farmers With Young Children Attending QPM Field Demonstrations (End 2014) Random Selection of 1779 Households

Individual Random Assignment



Research Questions

 What are the effects of adoption encouragement (adoption) and consumption encouragement (consumption) on:



Results

- Analysis is ongoing
- Preliminary results were shared at the 2017 East Africa Evidence Summit and will be posted publicly soon
- Please direct any questions to the authors



Acknowledgements



We like to thank:

- our sponsor, ATAI (BMGF, UKAID)
- Our partners, Ministries of Health and Agriculture, cooperatives
- The farmers for planting QPM
- The participating mothers and children for given us their time and considerable effort



Thank You!

